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#### Abstract

We consider a FitzHugh-Nagumo Reaction-Diffusion type system (FHN). The dynamics of the reaction part induces a unique repulsive stationnary point $(0,0)$ and a unique attractive limit cycle. After a description of the asymptotic behaviour of the FHN system, we deal with the synchronization and control analysis of $N$ coupled FHN systems.


## 1. Introduction

In 1952, after experiences on the squid giant axon, Hodgkin and Huxley proposed the first neuron model, see for example, [6], [8], [9], [10], [13],

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C \frac{d V}{d t}=-\bar{g}_{K} n^{4}\left(V-V_{K}\right)-\bar{g}_{N a} m^{3} h\left(V-V_{N a}\right)-\bar{g}_{L}\left(V-V_{L}\right)+I \\
\frac{d m}{d t}=\alpha_{m}(V)(1-m)-\beta_{m}(V) m \\
\frac{d n}{d t}=\alpha_{n}(V)(1-n)-\beta_{n}(V) n \\
\frac{d h}{d t}=\alpha_{h}(V)(1-h)-\beta_{h}(V) h
\end{array}\right.
$$

where,
$V$ represents the membrane potential,
$I$ represents an external applied current,
$m, n$ and $h$, varying between 0 and 1 , represent respectively the sodium activation, the sodium inactivation and the potassium activation. They determine the membrane permeability with respect to the associated ion.
$C$ is the membrane capacitance.
$\bar{g}_{K}, \bar{g}_{N a}$ and $\bar{g}_{L}$ represent the maximal conductance of the membrane respectively
for the potassium, sodium and leakage (mainly carried by chlorure ions) current. $V_{K}, V_{N a}$ and $V_{L}$ represent the Nernst equilibrium potential for potassium, sodium and leakage current. The $\alpha$ 's and $\beta$ 's are functions of $V$, they represent the transfert rates, and have been experimentally determined by Hodgkin and Huxley. Thus, the Hodgkin-Huxley model gives a description of the main ionic fluxes across the neuron membrane creating the nervous signal. In 1961, FitzHugh proposed a $2 D$ model that reproduces excitability and ocillatory features found in HodgkinHuxley model, see [4]. It is a modification of the well-known Van der Pol model, and has been initially called, the Bonhoeffer-van der Pol (BVP) model,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{t} & =c(F(x)+y+z) \\
y_{t} & =\frac{1}{c}(x-a+b y)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with,

$$
w_{t}=\frac{d w}{d t}
$$

and where $F$ is a cubic function, $a, b>0, z$ corresponds to a stimulus intensity.
In the same paper [4], FitzHugh showed that the quantities $u=V-36 m$, $w=0.5(n-h)$ obtained from the Hodkin-Huxley model evolve like the variables $x$ and $y$ of the BVP model. In 1962, Nagumo et al. proposed an electronic circuit whose behaviour is modeled by the BVP model, see [9]. The BVP model is now called the FitzHugh-Nagumo model. Another way to reduce the Hodgkin-Huxley to the FitzHugh-Nagumo model is to use properties of the Hodgkin-Huxley model and set, $h=0.85-n$ and $m(V)=\frac{\alpha_{m}(V)}{\alpha_{m}(V)+\beta_{m}(V)}$, then approximate the nullclines by a cubic and a straight line, see for example [8]. Thus, we consider here the following model of FitzHugh-Nagumo type,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon u_{t} & =f(u)-v  \tag{1}\\
v_{t} & =u-\delta v
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
f(u)=-u^{3}+3 u \text { and } \epsilon>0, \delta>0 \text { are small parameters. }
$$

In this case, all the solutions of system (1) different from $(0,0)$ evolve towards the unique attractive limit cycle (see Figure 1 and for example [1], in the limit case $\delta=0$ ).

Based on the model (1), we study a Reaction-Diffusion system of FitzHughNagumo type (FHN), see also [2],

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon u_{t} & =f(u)-v+d_{u} \Delta u  \tag{2}\\
v_{t} & =u-\delta v+d_{v} \Delta v
\end{align*}\right.
$$



Figure 1: Solutions of system (1).
with $u=u(x, t), v=v(x, t)$, on a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $d_{u}, d_{v}>$ 0 and with Neumann zero flux conditions on the boundary $\Gamma$ of $\Omega$,

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 .
$$

If the solutions of system (1) are well-known, what can we expect about the asymptotic behavior of solutions of system (2)? This is the aim of the first part of Section 2 , in which we also provide sufficient conditions under which the solutions go to $(0,0)$. In the second part of this section, we extend the obtained result for $N$ coupled systems of FHN type, $N=1,2, \ldots$ and give results on synchronization phenomenom in the last section of this paper.

## 2. Analytical results on the space temporal behaviour of $N$ coupled systems of FHN type

This section deals with the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of $N$ coupled systems of FHN type and mainly with the space homogenous charateristic or pattern formation.

### 2.1. One system of FHN type

Our concern here, is the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of system (2) when those of system (1) are known. Such a question may be found, for example, in Conway et al. [3]. Using these techniques, one can prove the following result:

Theorem 1. Let $\lambda$ be the smallest non zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator with zero flux Neumann boundary conditions. If,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3-\lambda d_{u}<0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\|\left(u-\bar{u}\left\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\right\| v-\bar{v} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)=0\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\bar{u}(t)=\frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) d x}{|\Omega|}, \quad \bar{v}=\frac{\int_{\Omega} v(x, t) d x}{|\Omega|}
$$

and where $\bar{u}, \bar{v}$ are solutions of the following system,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon \bar{u}_{t} & =f(\bar{u})-\bar{v}+g(t)  \tag{5}\\
\bar{v}_{t} & =\bar{u}-\delta \bar{v}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

with $g(t) \rightarrow 0$ with exponential rate when $t$ goes to $+\infty$.
This means that, asymptotically, the solutions are space homogeneous, and for each $x \in \Omega$, solutions of system (2) are solutions of system (1) which,
(i) either evolve around the limit cycle of (1),
(ii) or evolve towards $(0,0)$.

Remark 1. Let us recall, see [3], that the eigenvalue $\lambda$ increases when the size of $\Omega$ decreases. This means that condition (3) is satisfied when the size of $\Omega$ is small or the diffusion coefficients $d_{u}, d_{v}$ are large.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\phi(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)$ then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\phi} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon \nabla u \nabla u_{t}+\nabla v \nabla v_{t}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \nabla\left(f(u)-v+d_{u} \Delta u\right)+\nabla v \nabla\left(u-\delta v+d_{v} \Delta v\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(f^{\prime}(u)|\nabla u|^{2}-\delta|\nabla v|^{2}-d_{u}(\Delta u)^{2}-d_{v}(\Delta v)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} 3|\nabla u|^{2}-\lambda d_{u} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\delta|\nabla v|^{2}-\lambda d_{v} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(3-\lambda d_{u}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\left(\lambda d_{v}+\delta\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since, $\lambda d_{u}>3$ we have,

$$
\dot{\phi} \leq-2 \min \left(\frac{\lambda d_{u}-3}{\epsilon}, \lambda d_{v}+\delta\right) \phi
$$

thus,

$$
\phi(t) \leq \phi(0) e^{-c_{1} t}
$$

where,

$$
c_{1}=2 \min \left(\frac{\lambda d_{u}-3}{\epsilon}, \lambda d_{v}+\delta\right)
$$

Furthermore,
$\|u-\bar{u}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|v-\bar{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|^{2}\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\lambda \epsilon} \phi(t)$
which implies (4). In the remaining of the proof, we show that, $\bar{u}$ et $\bar{v}$ are solutions of (5). We have,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \bar{u}_{t} & =\frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f(u)-\bar{v} \\
\bar{v}_{t} & =\bar{u}-\bar{v}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

thus,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \bar{u}_{t} & =\frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}(f(u)-f(\bar{u}))+f(\bar{u})-\bar{v} \\
\bar{v}_{t} & =\bar{u}-\delta \bar{v}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

that is,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \bar{u}_{t} & =g(t)+f(\bar{u})-\bar{v} \\
\bar{v}_{t} & =\bar{u}-\delta \bar{v}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where,

$$
g(t)=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}(f(u)-f(\bar{u})) .
$$

But,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g(t)| & =\left|\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}(f(u)-f(\bar{u}))\right| \\
& \leq \frac{M}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}|u-\bar{u}| \\
& \leq \frac{M}{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|u-\bar{u}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where,

$$
M=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}}\left|f^{\prime}(\bar{u})\right|
$$

since from a result in [1], we know that $(u, v) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, one can also see [12]. Which completes the proof.

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition to the occurence of the asymptotic behaviour (ii) given by (6).

Proposition 1. If condition (3) of Theorem 1 is satisfied and if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u(0, x) d x=\int_{\Omega} v(0, x) d x=\int_{\Omega} f(u(0, x)) d x=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \geq 0, \int_{\Omega} f(u(t, x)) d x=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)=0
$$

Proof. By integrating system (2) and dividing by $|\Omega|$, we have,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{u} & =\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(u)-\bar{v}  \tag{9}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{v} & =\bar{u}-\delta \bar{v}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

since

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u d x=\int_{\Omega} \Delta v d x=0
$$

and

$$
(\bar{u}(t), \bar{v}(t))=\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) d x}{|\Omega|}, \frac{\int_{\Omega} v(x, t) d x}{|\Omega|}\right) .
$$

Thus, due to condition (7) and (8), we obtain that $(0,0)$ is the unique solution of (9). Then, the result follows obviously from application of Theorem 1.

If condition (3) is satisfied, Theorem 1 gives a comprehensive description of the asymptotic behaviour. If condition (7) is satisfied, the solutions of system (2) evolve towards solutions of kind (ii) given by (6), that is space homogenous solution $(0,0)$, whereas if not, solutions evolve towards solutions of kind (i) given by (6), that is the asymptotic behaviour is space-homogeneous and time-periodic.

Now, we consider the case where condition (3) is not satisfied and perform numerical simulations to analyze the asymptotic behaviour of solutions. We use the following parameter values,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Omega & =[0,100] \times[0,100] \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}  \tag{10}\\
\epsilon & =0.1 \\
\delta & =0.001 \\
d_{u}=d_{v} & =1
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We observe that, if (7) is not satisfied, the system still evolves like solutions of kind (ii) given by (6). For instance, Figure 2 shows such a behaviour in the case where the initial conditions $u(x, 0), v(x, 0)$ follow a uniform law on the interval $[0,1]$ forall $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega$. This figure and all the following have been obtained, using an explicit finite difference scheme, with $\mathrm{C}++$ language, and with a time step discretization equals to 0.01 and space step discretization equals to 1 .

While, if condition (7) is satisfied, our numerical simulations show special patterns. Indeed, Figure 3 shows a mirror solution of system (2) for particular initial conditions satisfying (7). Likewise Figure 4 and Figure 5, show a spiral and a multiple spiral solutions of system (2) for particular initial conditions satisfying (7).

Under the same conditions, as those used for Figure $3,4,5$, if we choose initial conditions following a uniform law on $[-1,1]$ forall $x \in \Omega$, that is, near the set of functions satsfying condition (7), our numerical simulations show a more complicated asymptotic behaviour as done in Figure 6.

Remark 2. Let us remark that wave propagation and pattern formation are of great interest in understanding the behaviour of lot of systems and in particular the brain or cardiac dynamics. For example, in [7], and references therein cited, formation of spiral patterns have been experimentally shown in neocortex.


Figure 2: Space homogeneous and periodic-time asymptotic behaviour of system (2) for almost initial conditions, with parameter values given by (10), (a) initial condition $u(x, 0)$, (b) asymptotic behaviour $u(x, 200)$.


Figure 3: Mirror asymptotic behaviour of system (2) for particular initial conditions near the set of functions satisfying (7), with parameter values given by (10), (a) initial condition $u(x, 0)$, (b) asymptotic behaviour $u(x, 200)$.


Figure 4: Spiral asymptotic behaviour of system (2) for particular initial conditions near the set of functions satisfying (7), with parameter values given by (10), (a) initial condition $u(x, 0)$, (b) asymptotic behaviour $u(x, 200)$.


Figure 5: Multiple spirals solution asymptotic behaviour of system (2) for particular initial conditions near the set of functions satisfying (7), with parameter values given by (10), (a) initial condition $u(x, 0)$, (b) asymptotic behaviour $u(x, 200)$.


Figure 6: solution asymptotic behaviour of system (2) for particular random initial conditions near the set of functions satisfying (7), with parameter values given by (10), (a) initial condition $u(x, 0)$, (b) asymptotic behaviour $u(x, 200)$.

### 2.2. Coupling $N$ systems of FHN type

We can extend these results to $N$ coupled systems of FHN type. Let us consider the following system,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon u_{1 t} & =f\left(u_{1}\right)-v_{1}+d_{u_{1}} \Delta u_{1}  \tag{11}\\
v_{1 t} & =u_{1}-\delta_{1} v_{1}+d_{v_{1}} \Delta v_{1} \\
\vdots & \\
\epsilon u_{i t} & =f\left(u_{i}\right)-v_{i}+d_{u_{i}} \Delta u_{i}+\alpha_{i}\left(u_{i-1}-u_{i}\right) \\
v_{i t} & =u_{i}-\delta_{i} v_{i}+d_{v_{i}} \Delta v_{i}+\beta_{i}\left(v_{i-1}-v_{i}\right) \\
\vdots & \\
\epsilon u_{N t} & =f\left(u_{N}\right)-v_{N}+d_{u_{N}} \Delta u_{N}+\alpha_{N}\left(u_{N-1}-u_{N}\right) \\
v_{N t} & =u_{N}-\delta_{N} v_{N}+d_{v_{N}} \Delta v_{N}+\beta_{N}\left(v_{N-1}-v_{N}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\beta_{i} \geq 0$, for $i=2, \ldots, N$, and with zero flux boundary Neumann conditions. Then, if $\alpha_{i}=0, i=2, \ldots, N$, we have the following result which can be also easily proved when $\alpha_{i} \neq 0$,

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda$ be the smallest non zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator, with zero flux Neumann boundary conditions. Assume that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3-\lambda d_{u_{i}}<0 \quad \forall i \in 1, . ., N \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\left\|u_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|v_{i}-\bar{v}_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\bar{u}_{i}(t)=\frac{\int_{\Omega} u_{i}(x, t) d x}{|\Omega|}, \quad \bar{v}_{i}=\frac{\int_{\Omega} v_{i}(x, t) d x}{|\Omega|}, \quad \forall i \in 1, \ldots, N
$$

with $\left(\bar{u}_{i}, \bar{v}_{i}\right)$ satisfying,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon \bar{u}_{i t} & =f\left(\bar{u}_{i}\right)-\bar{v}_{i}+g_{i}(t)  \tag{15}\\
\bar{v}_{i t} & =\bar{u}_{i}-\delta_{i} \bar{v}_{i}+\beta_{i}\left(\bar{v}_{i-1}-\bar{v}_{i}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and where, $g_{i}(t) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$ with exponential rate decay.
Proof. It comes from an induction argument, by using similar techniques as those given in the proof of Theorem 1. More precisely, let,

$$
\phi_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{i}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

by algebraic computations we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\phi}_{i} & \leq\left(3-\lambda d_{u_{i}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}-\left(\lambda d_{v_{i}}+\delta_{i}+\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{i}\right|^{2}+\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{i-1}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(3-\lambda d_{u_{i}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}-\left(\lambda d_{v_{i}}+\delta_{i}+\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{i}\right|^{2}+\frac{\beta_{i}}{2} K_{i-1} e^{-c_{i-1} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{i-1}, c_{i-1}$ are positive constants.
This yields,

$$
\phi_{i}(t) \leq K_{i} e^{-c_{i} t}
$$

The remaining of the proof is similar as one of Theorem 1.

Similarly, one can easily extend Proposition 1.
Proposition 2. If condition (12) of Theorem 2 are satisfied, and if,

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{i}(x, 0)=\int_{\Omega} v_{i}(x, 0)=\int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{i}(x, 0)\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{i}(x, t)\right) d x=0
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of proposition 1.

## 3. Synchronization

Synchronization phenomenom has been widely studied, mainly for ordinary or delay differential equations. However, for partial differential equations, only few results exist, see for example [5], [11], [14].
3.1. General result for coupled FHN systems

Definition 1. Let $S_{i}=\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$. We say that $S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$ synchronize if,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left\|u_{i}-u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|v_{i}-v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)=0
$$

The quantity,

$$
\left(\left\|u_{i}-u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|v_{i}-v_{j}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is called the norm of synchronization error between $S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$. Let $S=\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{N}\right)$. We say that $S$ synchronize if,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left(\left\|u_{i}-u_{i+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|v_{i}-v_{i+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)=0
$$

The quantity,

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left(\left\|u_{i}-u_{i+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|v_{i}-v_{i+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is called the norm of synchronization error of $S$.

Let us consider the system (11) with $d_{u_{i}}=d_{u_{j}}, d_{v_{i}}=d_{v_{j}}$ and $\delta_{i}=\delta_{j}=\delta$ $\forall i, j \in 1, \ldots, N$, then we have the folowing result,

Theorem 3. Assume that $\beta_{i} \geq 0, i=2, \ldots, N$. If,

$$
\alpha_{i}>3, \quad i=2, \ldots, N
$$

then the system $S=\left(\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{N}, v_{N}\right)\right)$ synchronize.
Proof. Let,

$$
\phi_{i}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{i}-v_{i-1}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Our proof is based on an induction idea, thus, we first consider the system $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$. By derivating $\phi_{2}$ and using Green formula, we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\phi}_{2}(t) & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(f\left(u_{2}\right)-f\left(u_{1}\right)-\alpha_{2}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\right)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)-\left(\delta+\beta_{2}\right)\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& \left.\leq \int_{\Omega}\left(f^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-\alpha_{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)}{2}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)-\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\delta+\beta_{2}\right)\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(f^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)-\alpha_{2}+\frac{\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)^{2}}{16}\right)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\delta+\beta_{2}\right)\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since for all real $b, c$,

$$
-x^{2}+b x+c \leq \frac{b^{2}}{4}+c
$$

this yelds,

$$
\dot{\phi}_{2}(t) \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(3-\frac{3}{4} u_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\delta+\beta_{2}\right)\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Since, $\alpha_{2}>3$, we obtain,

$$
\phi_{2}(t) \leq \phi_{2}(0) e^{-c_{2} t}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is a positive constant. The remaining of the proof follows by induction and using the same technique as in Theorem 2.

### 3.2. Numerical simulations

Now, we consider the system (11) for $N=2$ or 3 and $d_{u_{i}}=d_{v_{i}}=1, \delta_{i}=$ $0.001, \forall i \in 1, \ldots, N$, that are two or three coupled FHN systems. Moreover, we set $\alpha_{i}=0$, choose $\beta_{i}>0, \forall i \in 1, \ldots, N$, and fix $\epsilon=0.1$. First of all, let us consider two coupled FHN systems,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon u_{1 t} & =f\left(u_{1}\right)-v_{1}+\Delta u_{1}  \tag{17}\\
v_{1 t} & =u_{1}-\delta v_{1}+\Delta v_{1} \\
\epsilon u_{2 t} & =f\left(u_{2}\right)-v_{2}+\Delta u_{2} \\
v_{2 t} & =u_{2}-\delta v_{2}+\Delta v_{2}+\beta_{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Our numerical simulations, see figure 7,8 , show that system (17) synchronize for a coupling strength $\beta_{2}$ belonging to the interval $[0.15441558,0.15441559]$. In this figure, the initial conditions are $u_{1}(x, 0)=v_{1}(x, 0)=1$ and $u_{2}(x, 0), v_{2}(x, 0)$ particular functions leading to the spiral pattern formation as done in Figure 4.


Figure 7: Synchronization of two systems of type FHN for $\delta=0.001$ and $\epsilon=0.1$. Isovalues, of $u_{2}(x, t)$ at fixed time $t=200$ and respectively for the coupling strength $(a) \beta_{2}=0.15,(b) \beta_{2}=$ $0.15441558,(c) \beta_{2}=0.15441559$.


Figure 8: Synchronization of two systems of type FHN for $\delta=0.001$ and $\epsilon=0.1$. The norm of synchronization error given by the definition 1 on interval of time $[0,200]$ for the coupling strength respectively $(a) \beta_{2}=0.15$, (b) $\beta_{2}=0.15441558$, (c) $\beta_{2}=0.15441559$.

Now, we consider three coupled FHN systems,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\epsilon u_{1 t} & =f\left(u_{1}\right)-v_{1}+\Delta u_{1}  \tag{18}\\
v_{1 t} & =u_{1}-\delta v_{1}+\Delta v_{1} \\
\epsilon u_{2 t} & =f\left(u_{2}\right)-v_{2}+\Delta u_{2} \\
v_{2 t} & =u_{2}-\delta v_{2}+\Delta v_{2}+\beta_{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) \\
\epsilon u_{3 t} & =f\left(u_{3}\right)-v_{3}+\Delta u_{3} \\
v_{3 t} & =u_{3}-\delta v_{3}+\Delta v_{3}+\beta_{3}\left(v_{2}-v_{3}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Our numerical simulations, see figure 9,10 , show that system (18) synchronize for a coupling strength $\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}$ belonging to the interval $[0.23,0.24]$. In this figure, the initial conditions are $u_{1}(x, 0)=v_{1}(x, 0)=1$ and $\left(u_{2}(x, 0), v_{2}(x, 0)\right)=$


Figure 9: Synchronization of three systems of type FHN for $\delta=0.001$ and $\epsilon=0.1$. Isovalues, of $u_{3}(x, t)$ at fixed time $t=200$ and respectively for the coupling strength $(a) \beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=0.23$, (b) $\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=0.24$.


Figure 10: Synchronization of three systems of type FHN for $\delta=0.001$ and $\epsilon=0.1$. The norm of synchronization error between $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ given by the definition 1 on the interval of time [ 0,200 ] for the coupling strength respectively $(a) \beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=0.23,(b) \beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=0.24$.
$\left(u_{3}(x, 0), v_{3}(x, 0)\right)$ particular functions leading to the spiral pattern formation as done in Figure 4.

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper we have studied a reaction diffusion FitzHugh-Nagumo type system. A natural question was how the asymptotic behaviour of the PDE was related to one of the ODE. By using techniques from existing works we have comprehensively responded to this question in case where a condition on the Laplacian operator was satisfied. In particular, this condition is verified if the domain have a small size or if the diffusion coefficents are large. We also exhibited a condition that allows the formation of special spacial time-periodic patterns. These patterns have been oberved in brain or cardiac dynamics. We then extended our results to
$N$ coupled FHN systems, and studied a synchronization phenomenom. In neuroscience context, this can be interpreted in terms of control. Particular neurons or external signals could be used to control the behaviour of other ones. Several open questions and further work on FHN systems are in progress and left to forthcoming papers.
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